

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,512	10/26/2001	Thomas M. Walley	10010478-1	4649
7.	590 08/28/2003			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			MEYER, DAVID C	
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 00			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- Vm				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/004,512	WALLEY, THOMAS M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE - Salis	David C. Meyer	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi latutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO. y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>16 June 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,512

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Response, filed June 16, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Khoshnevis (US 2003/0020807 A1) under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

The examiner observes that in the Response under the heading "Allowable Subject Matter", the applicant refers to claim 16 as independent. It is understood that the applicant meant to refer here to claim 15 as independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Khoshnevis (US 2003/002087 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,512

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 1, Khoshnevis discloses a hand-held stereoscopic imaging system that captures images and generates digital image signals. The system includes a movable device 100 comprising first and second CMOS photo arrays 302. Lenses 102 direct far-field images onto the respective photo arrays. A digital signal processor (DSP) 402 enables and disables the photo arrays, presumably as successive images are captured. The DSP sends signals to LCDs 304, which in turn generate images in accordance with the received signals. These signals and their corresponding images constitute movement data indicative of motion of the device in three dimensions. (See Figs. 3,4 and para. 20-23.)

Regarding claims 2-4, inasmuch as the images displayed at the LCDs 304 will reflect angular rotation and translation of the system 100 in three dimensions, the image signals comprise three-dimensional relative angular rotation and translation data.

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 3 shows first and second lenses 102.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As the applicant points out in the Response (see page 9), the prior art of record does not teach, in addition to the other claimed features, the perpendicular arrangement of first and second two-dimensional photodetector arrays.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,512

Art Unit: 2878

5. Claims 8-18 are allowed. In regard to claims 8-14, as the applicant points out in the Response (see page 8) the prior art of record does not teach, in addition to the other claimed features, a step wherein subsequently-captured first and second sets of digital representations are correlated prior to the generation of movement data. Also, in regard to claims 15-18, as previously discussed the prior art of record does not teach, in addition to the other claimed features, the perpendicular arrangement of first and second two-dimensional photodetector arrays.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beardsley (US 2003/0025788 A1) discloses a hand-held three-dimensional vision system, wherein only one of two cameras provides image data used to generate motion data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Meyer whose telephone number is 703-305-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/004,512

Art Unit: 2878

DCM

August 19, 2003

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800